IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Ronnie McCray, #244124,) C/A NO. 6:07-1638-CMC-WMC
D1 : .100	
Plaintiff,)
	OPINION and ORDER
V.)
)
Director Jon Ozmint; Warden George)
Hagan; Sgt. Carlos Marshall; Sgt. James)
Mosely; Sgt. James Rumph; Sgt. D.)
McKnight; Officer Timmy Smith; Officer)
P. Rivers; Officer Richard Jenkins; Officer)
Mattie Edwards; Officer Corey Johnson,)
each are sued in their individual and official)
capacities as state employees,)
)
Defendants.)
)

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge William M. Catoe for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On February 12, 2008, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the complaint be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff has filed no objections and the time for doing so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo*

Page 2 of 2 6:07-cv-01638-CMC Date Filed 03/06/08 Entry Number 30

determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is

made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection.

See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that

"in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but

instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept

the recommendation.") (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, and the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the conclusions of the Magistrate

Judge. Accordingly, the court adopts and incorporates the Report and Recommendation by reference

This complaint is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil in this Order.

Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina

March 5, 2008

2